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## Alumni

## Feedback Analysis of Alumni

The feedback on the curriculum is obtained from the Alumni annually by providing links to the data collection questionnaire in the Management Information System (MIS) of the University.

The link for data collection and the questionnaire is given below.
https://www.igacmgu.org/FeedBack/feedback?id=GlMRh917vo6gc3WiLGE619ew5gB n996Ugme5eLmDGzyOrBMCHi

The feedback, thus collected through the MIS is then thoroughly analysed by a Committee constituted by the IQAC and the observations of the committee are communicated to the Heads/ IQAC Coordinators of the Schools, Centres, and Divisions concerned.

Subject to the decision of the faculty council, and approval of the board of studies the suggestions are incorporated to the respective curriculum and the revisions are reported to the academic council for final approval.

## Feedback Form for Alumni

| S.No | Parameter | Outstanding <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | Excellent <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | Very <br> Good <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | Good <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | Poor <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ability to link theory to practice | 18.97 | 56.9 | 12.07 | 8.62 | 3.45 |
| 2 | Ability to teamwork | 24.14 | 51.72 | 20.69 | 3.45 | 0 |
| 3 | Co-curricular activities | 18.64 | 35.59 | 20.34 | 20.34 | 5.08 |


| 4 | Curriculum/syllabus support for placement/higher education | 27.59 | 43.1 | 18.97 | 8.62 | 1.72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Experimental learning | 18.64 | 54.24 | 18.64 | 8.47 | 0 |
| 6 | Institute Industry tie ups | 11.86 | 35.59 | 23.73 | 20.34 | 8.47 |
| 7 | Institute is studentCentric | 25.42 | 38.98 | 20.34 | 8.47 | 6.78 |
| 8 | Institute/faculty support for placement/higher education | 18.64 | 45.76 | 18.64 | 15.25 | 1.69 |
| 9 | Learning ambience | 45.76 | 38.98 | 11.86 | 1.69 | 1.69 |
| 10 | Opportunity in extracurricular activities | 22.03 | 42.37 | 20.34 | 13.56 | 1.69 |
| 11 | Oral,written and presentation skills | 37.29 | 49.15 | 10.17 | 1.69 | 1.69 |
| 12 | Overall grading | 22.81 | 49.12 | 19.3 | 8.77 | 0 |
| 13 | Sufficient scholarships to students | 27.12 | 37.29 | 18.64 | 10.17 | 6.78 |
| 14 | Transparency in academic processes | 22.41 | 46.55 | 12.07 | 15.52 | 3.45 |

## Feedback Analysis_Alumni

## Ability to link theory to practice



- Outstanding

■ Excellent
$\square$ Very Good
Good
$\square$ Poor

The responses received from alumni for ability to link theory to practice show that $19 \%$ responded outstanding, $57 \%$ Excellent, $12 \%$ Very good, $9 \%$ Good and $3 \%$ Poor respectively.

## Ability to teamwork
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The responses received from alumni for ability to teamwork show that $24 \%$ responded outstanding, $52 \%$ Excellent, 21\% Very good and 3\% Good respectively.

## Co-curricular activities
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The responses received from alumni for co-curricular activities show that $19 \%$ responded outstanding, $36 \%$ Excellent, $20 \%$ Very good, $20 \%$ Good and $5 \%$ Poor respectively.

## Curriculum/syllabus support for placement/higher education
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The responses received from alumni for curriculum/syllabus support for placement/higher education show that $27 \%$ responded outstanding, $43 \%$ Excellent, $19 \%$ Very good, $9 \%$ Good and $2 \%$ Poor respectively.

## Experimental learning



The responses received from alumni for curriculum/syllabus support for placement/higher education show that 19\% responded outstanding, 54\% Excellent, 19\% Very good and 8\% Good respectively.

## Institute Industry tie-ups
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The responses received from alumni for institute industry tie-ups show that $12 \%$ responded outstanding, $36 \%$ Excellent,24\% Very good, 20\% Good and 8\% Poor respectively.

## Institute is student centric
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The responses received from alumni for institute is student centric show that $25 \%$ responded outstanding, $39 \%$ Excellent, 20\% Very good, 9\% Good and 7\% Poor respectively.

# Institute/faculty support for placement/higher education 
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The responses received from alumni for institute/faculty support for placement/higher education show that $18 \%$ responded outstanding, 46\% Excellent, 19\% Very good, 15\% Good and 2\% Poor respectively.


The responses received from alumni for learning ambience show that $46 \%$ responded outstanding, $39 \%$ Excellent, $12 \%$ Very good, $1 \%$ Good and 2\% Poor respectively.

## Opportunity in extracurricular activities
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The responses received from alumni for opportunity in extracurricular activities show that $22 \%$ responded outstanding, $42 \%$ Excellent, 20\% Very good, 14\% Good and 2\% Poor respectively.

## Oral,written and presentation skills
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The responses received from alumni for oral,written and presentation skills show that $37 \%$ responded outstanding, 49\% Excellent, 10\% Very good, 2\% Good and 2\% Poor respectively.

## Overall grading
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The responses received from alumni for overall grading show that $23 \%$ responded outstanding, $49 \%$ Excellent, 19\% Very good and 9\% Good respectively.

## Sufficient scholarships to students
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The responses received from alumni for sufficient scholarships to students show that $27 \%$ responded outstanding, $37 \%$ Excellent, 19\% Very good, 10\% Good and 7\% Poor respectively.


The responses received from alumni for transparency in academic processes show that $22 \%$ responded outstanding, 47\% Excellent, 12\% Very good, 16\% Good and 3\% Poor respectively.

